



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/803,672

03/18/2004

Tsutomu Okada

17548

5931

23389 7590 02/01/2010  
SCULLY SCOTT MURPHY & PRESSER, PC  
400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA  
SUITE 300  
GARDEN CITY, NY 11530

EXAMINER

YABUT, DIANE D

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3734

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

02/01/2010

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/803,672	<b>Applicant(s)</b> OKADA, TSUTOMU	
	<b>Examiner</b> DIANE YABUT	<b>Art Unit</b> 3734	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 November 2009.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 23-27, 29-32 and 34-39 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23-27, 29-32 and 34-39 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \*    c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

### Attachment(s)

- |                                                                                     |                                                                   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)         | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)           |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____                                      |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____                                                         | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                          |

### DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 11/13/2009.

The rejection of claims 23-26, 29-32, 34-35, 37-39 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Kobayashi et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,011,667) in view of Matsui et al.(U.S. Patent No. 6,352,503) has been overcome by showing that the reference is disqualified under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) since Kobayashi et al. is stated as being a commonly owned patent with the present application to Olympus Corporation.

### *Claim Objections*

1. Claim 36 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim begins with "A clip" and should rather read --The clip--.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 23-27 and 36-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Sugiyama et al.** (U.S. Pub. No. **2002/0177861**) in view of **Matsuno** (U.S. Patent No. **5,766,189**).

In Figures 45-49 Sugiyama et al. disclose a clip manipulating device comprising a flexible insertion tube **130** capable of being inserted into a cavity of a living

Art Unit: 3734

body and having a curvedly raised portion at a distal end portion, a single flexible wire **131** having pliability and movable through the insertion tube, a junction **115** provided on a distal end portion of the wire, detachably coupled with a single clip **110** located at the distal end portion of the insertion tube for effecting grasping operation and disengaging operation of the clip, wherein the junction is pliable enough to follow substantial bending deformation of the insertion tube, such that movement in the tube is not hindered by the bending deformation, and the junction including a looped flexible wire (such as knot **115a** in Figure 21) of a predetermined length, one end which is coupled with the clip and a J-shaped coupling member **134** connected to the flexible wire, the coupling member having a coupling with the other end of the looped flexible wire, the coupling releasing the looped flexible wire (paragraph 160) and the looped flexible wire having a length between the clip and the deformable member such that one end of the looped flexible wire engaged with the clip may be released from the distal end portion of the flexible insertion tube and the coupling member does not enter the curved portion of the flexible insertion tube (Figure 46). It is noted that while Figures 47-49 show that the clip is released when the coupling member **134** is at the distal end of an uncurved flexible insertion tube, the position of Figure 46 with the coupling member not entering the curved portion of the flexible insertion tube discloses a position in which the coupling between the coupling member **134** and the junction **115** and the clip **110** may be disengaged. A flexible tube sheath (channel) **150** penetrated by the insertion tube for advance and retreat is disclosed, and is capable of storing the clip located at the distal end portion of the insertion tube. It is also noted that the flexible insertion tube may be

Art Unit: 3734

also considered to be **136** with a curvedly raised portion **120**, and the sheath may be **130**. The flexible insertion tube forms a push member **135** for advancing the clip.

Sugiyama et al. disclose the claimed device, including the coupling member being disengageable from the junction by moving the insertion tube and the flexible wire (paragraph 160), except for the coupling member having a deformable portion coupled with the junction looped wire, wherein deformation of the deformable portion releases the looped flexible wire.

Matsuno teaches a clip manipulating device in which a deformable portion **3A** of a coupling member **3** (Figures 2A-2B) is deformed to release a coupling.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a deformable portion on the coupling member **134** of Sugiyama et al., as taught by Matsuno, in order to facilitate disengagement of the coupling member with a pulling force instead of the need to move both the insertion tube and the flexible wire.

Sugiyama et al. also do not expressly disclose coupling member **134** having greater rigidity than looped flexible wire **115**, although it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a material with greater rigidity to elements proximal to the looped flexible wire to increase control of the device when steering.

Art Unit: 3734

4. Claims 29-32, 34-35, and 38-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Sugiyama et al.** (U.S. Pub. No. **2002/0177861**) in view of **Foerster et al.** (U.S. Pub. No. **2002/0026201**).

Sugiyama et al. disclose the claimed device, as mentioned above in Figures 45-49, with the flexible looped wire **115** having one end coupled to the flexible wire **131** at a joint and the insertion tube bent up to substantially 90 degrees by a forceps raising device, except for the looped flexible wire **115** having the other end coupled with the clip and being able to be broken to release the clip when the flexible wire is hauled with a tractive effort.

Foerster et al. teach a clip manipulating device in Figures 9-11 in which a looped flexible wire **18a** has one end coupled with a clip **12a** and is broken to release the clip as a result of a tractive effort.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the coupling and the looped flexible wire junction of Sugiyama et al. to have one end of the looped flexible wire coupled with the clip and being able to be broken to release the clip, as taught by Foerster et al., in order to facilitate disengagement of the coupling member with a pulling force instead of the need to move both the insertion tube and the flexible wire.

Sugiyama et al. also do not expressly disclose the joint having greater rigidity than looped flexible wire **115**, although it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to provide a material with greater rigidity to

Art Unit: 3734

elements proximal to the looped flexible wire to increase control of the device when steering.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 23-27, 29-32, and 34-39 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DIANE YABUT whose telephone number is (571)272-6831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 9AM-4PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Todd Manahan can be reached on (571) 272-4713. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Diane Yabut/  
Examiner, Art Unit 3734

/Todd E Manahan/  
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3734